



Sh. Jagshir Singh, (8195800345)

S/o Late Sh. Gian Singh,
9/20, MandiMullanpur, Ludhiana-141101.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Tarn Taran.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Tarn Taran.

Appeal Case No.832 and 833 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	18-06-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	13-11-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jagshir Singh

Respondent: Ms. Charanjit Kaur (Superintendent) 9501015212
alongwith Shiv Karan Singh (Junior Assitt.)

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order 20.04.2022. As both the parties are same in both the above mentioned case therefore a single speaking order is passed in both the cases.
2. Appellant, Sh. Jagshir Singh no information has been received so far from the respondent. On this Respondent, Ms. Charanjit Kaur stated that sought information has already been supplied to the appellant and no any other information is pending in the office record.
3. The Commission is receipt of correspondence from respondent dated 08.06.2022 vide diary no.12264 the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:-

In The Court Of Sh. Maninder Singh Patti, State Information
Commissioner, Punjab, Sector-16, Chandigarh.

RTI Appeal Case No 832 & 833 Of 2021

Jagsir Singh v/s Public Information officer, Registering Authority Tarn Taran.

Affidavit

ਮੈਂ ਚਰਨਜੀਤ ਕੌਰ ਪਬਲਿਕ ਇਨਫਰਮੇਸ਼ਨ ਅਫਸਰ-ਕਮ-ਸੁਪਰਡੈਂਟ ਗ੍ਰੇਡ-2, ਦਫਤਰ ਉਪ ਮੰਡਲ ਮੈਜਿਸਟ੍ਰੇਟ, ਤਰਨ ਤਾਰਨ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਬਿਆਨ ਕਰਦੀ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ :-

- 1 ਇਹ ਕਿ ਇਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਉਪ ਮੰਡਲ ਮੈਜਿਸਟ੍ਰੇਟ ਦਫਤਰ ਤਰਨ ਤਾਰਨ ਵਿਖੇ ਤਾਇਨਾਤ ਹਾਂ।
- 2 ਇਹ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲ ਕੇਸ ਨੰਬਰ 832 ਆਫ 833 ਆਫ 2021 ਵਿੱਚ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਜਗਜੀਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਪੁੱਤਰ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਗਿਆਨ ਸਿੰਘ ਵਾਸੀ 9/20 ਮੰਡੀ ਮੁੱਲਾਂਪੁਰ, ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ 141101 ਵੱਲੋਂ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ ਤਹਿਤ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਪਾਸੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਹੈ।
- 3 ਇਹ ਕਿ ਇਹ ਕਿ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 875 ਮਿਤੀ 10/08/2020 ਅਤੇ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 908 ਮਿਤੀ 18/08/2020 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਪਹਿਲਾਂ ਹੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਨੂੰ ਸਮੇਂ ਸਿਰ ਭੇਜ ਜਾ ਚੁੱਕੀ ਹੈ।

Appeal Case No.832 and 833 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

- 4 ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਪੂਰਨ ਤੌਰ ਤੇ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਕਰਵਾ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਤੋਂ ਇਲਾਵਾ ਕੋਈ ਬਾਕੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਪੈਂਡਿੰਗ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ।
- 5 ਇਹ ਕਿ ਜੇਕਰ ਕੋਈ ਹੋਰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਨੂੰ ਆਪਣੇ ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਹੋਵੇ ਤਾਂ ਉਹ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਕੰਮ ਵਾਲੇ ਦਿਨ ਦਫਤਰ ਹਾਜ਼ਿਰ ਆ ਕੇ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ / ਦੇਖ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ।



ਬਿਆਨਕਰਤਾ,

Charanjit Idar
ਪਬਲਿਕ ਇੰਨਫਰਮੇਸ਼ਨ ਅਫਸਰ-
ਕਮ-ਸੁਪਰਡੈਂਟ ਗ੍ਰੇਡ-2, ਦਫਤਰ ਉਪ
ਮੰਡਲ ਮੈਜਿਸਟ੍ਰੇਟ, ਤਰਨ ਤਾਰਨ।

ਮੈਂ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਬਿਆਨਕਾਰ ਪੁਸ਼ਟੀ ਕਰਦਾ/ਕਰਦੀ ਹਾਂ ਕਿ ਮੇਰਾ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਬਿਆਨ ਸਹੀ ਅਤੇ ਦਰੁਸਤ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਗੱਲ ਲੁਕਾ ਛੁਪਾਈ ਨਹੀਂ ਗਈ ਹੈ।

ਬਿਆਨਕਰਤਾ,

Attested as Identified

Executive Magistrate
Tarn Taran

Charanjit Idar
ਪਬਲਿਕ ਇੰਨਫਰਮੇਸ਼ਨ ਅਫਸਰ-
ਕਮ-ਸੁਪਰਡੈਂਟ ਗ੍ਰੇਡ-2, ਦਫਤਰ ਉਪ
ਮੰਡਲ ਮੈਜਿਸਟ੍ਰੇਟ, ਤਰਨ ਤਾਰਨ।

4. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties, it is evident that the RTI application has been suitably replied and the information has been supplied to the best extent. Moreover, the factual position has been brought to the notice of the appellant by the respondent in affidavit form.

Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.07.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha, (9814228629)

30, Banda Bahadur Nagar,
Jalandhar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Municipal Corporation,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No.1192 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	02-11-2020
PIO replied on	:	08-01-2021
First appeal filed on	:	11-01-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	10-02-2021

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh.Paul Parneet Singh (Building Inspector) 7087547807

ORDER (Fifth Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order 20.04.2022.
2. Respondent, Sh.Paul Parneet Singh stated that sought information has already been supplied to the appellant and no any other information is pending in the official record.
3. The commission is in receipt of written submission/reply of show cause from respondent the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:-

"2. That it is further submitted that all the information which was available in the record has been supplied to the appellant. This delay was neither intentionally nor willfully Delay was due to the frequent changes of the sectors of the Building Inspectors areas. Deponent tenders unconditional Apology for the delay and undertakes in future all replies shall be submitted with time without any delay. All order's shall be completed with heart& soul directed or issued by this Hon'ble Court "

4. **ADJUNCT DECISION** Keeping in view the facts of the case and submission made by both the parties as also the reply to the show cause notice furnished by the Respondent, no further intervention of the Commission is warranted in the matter. The show cause proceedings stand dropped.
5. The Respondent is however, cautioned to exercise due care in future to ensure that correct and complete information is furnished timely to the RTI applicant(s) as per provisions of the Act failing which penal proceedings under Section 20 shall be initiated.
6. Appellant is absent Moreover, he has not made any submissions regarding the deficiencies after the last hearing in the commission.

Appeal Case No.1192 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

7. Accordingly, the Commission presumes that he is satisfied with the information/response filed by the respondent-Public Information Officer and he has nothing to say. So no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within 10 days from the issue of this order in case of any further submissions.

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.07.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Sh. Ravinder Pal Singh Chadha, (9814228629)

30, Banda Bahadur Nagar,
Jalandhar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Municipal Corporation,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No.1193 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	14-09-2020
PIO replied on	:	19-11-2020
First appeal filed on	:	19-10-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	01-12-2020

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh.Paul Parneet Singh (Building Inspector) 7087547807

ORDER (Fifth Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order 20.04.2022.
2. Respondent, Sh.Paul Parneet Singh stated that sought information has already been supplied to the appellant and no any other information is pending in the official record.
3. The commission is in receipt of written submission/reply of show cause from respondent the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:-

"2. That it is further submitted that all the information which was available in the record has been supplied to the appellant. This delay was neither intentionally nor willfully Delay was due to the frequent changes of the sectors of the Building Inspectors areas. Deponent tenders unconditional Apology for the delay and undertakes in future all replies shall be submitted with time without any delay. All order's shall be completed with heart& soul directed or issued by this Hon'ble Court "

4. **ADJUNCT DECISION** Keeping in view the facts of the case and submission made by both the parties as also the reply to the show cause notice furnished by the Respondent, no further intervention of the Commission is warranted in the matter. The show cause proceedings stand dropped.
5. The Respondent is however, cautioned to exercise due care in future to ensure that correct and complete information is furnished timely to the RTI applicant(s) as per provisions of the Act failing which penal proceedings under Section 20 shall be initiated.
6. Appellant is absent Moreover, he has not made any submissions regarding the deficiencies after the last hearing in the commission.

Appeal Case No.1193 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

7. Accordingly, the Commission presumes that he is satisfied with the information/response filed by the respondent-Public Information Officer and he has nothing to say. So no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within 10 days from the issue of this order in case of any further submissions.

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.07.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg, (7814322100)

C/o Police Public Dairy 15 A, Shastari Nagar ,
Model Town, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Center, Division No 2, PWD B&R,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.3623 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	12-05-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	20-06-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg

Respondent: Sh.Dyal krishan Sharma (XEN) 9814648800

Ms. Monika Sharma (Sr. Asstt.) 9417267301

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 15.06.2022.
2. Both the parties are present and heard.
3. **Written Submission from respondent:**

An email from respondent PIO XEN PWD Amritsar is received by the undersigned bench the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:

In compliance to your order during the proceeding in the cited case, it is humbly submitted that the desired information was sent to the appellant vide this office letter No.1138 dated 08.06.2022. The appellant on hearing dated 15.06.2022 has asked for few deficiencies in the information. The letter of short coming dated 21.06.2022 of appellant has been received in this office and same was duly complied by resending the information vide e-mail dated 16.07.2022 to the appellant. As such, the best information available in this office has been provided to the appellant. No other information is available in this office.

4. Keeping in view the facts of the case going through the submission made by respondent PIO this case is Disposed of and closed.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.07.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg, (7814322100)

C/o Police Public Dairy 15 A, Shastari Nagar ,
Model Town, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Center, Division No 2, PWD B&R,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.3624 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	12-05-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	20-06-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg
Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 15.06.2022.
2. Appellant, Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg has acknowledged the receiving of sought information and has requested to close the case.
3. Accordingly the case is Disposed of and closed.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.07.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg, (7814322100)

C/o Police Public Dairy 15 A, Shastari Nagar ,
Model Town, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o XEN, Center, Division No 2, PWD B&R,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.3625 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	12-05-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	20-06-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg
Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 15.06.2022.
2. Appellant, Sh. Nitin Kumar Garg has acknowledged the receiving of sought information and has requested to close the case.
3. Accordingly the case is Disposed of and closed.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 18.07.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Deepak Kalia, (8198000785)

31, Kailash Nagar, Jalandhar.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Police Commissioner,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Police Commissioner,
Jalandhar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No.4886 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Balwinder Saini (Building Inspector) 9468180355

ORDER (Third Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 20.04.2022.
2. Respondent, Sh. Balwinder Saini stated that information sought by the appellant has been provided to him and no any other information is pending in the office record.
3. Appellant is absent without any intimation to the Commission.
4. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by the respondent authority this case is closed and Disposed of.

Chandigarh
18.07.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Sh. Armesh Kumar Loomba, (9592614954)

S/o Sh. G.S Loomba,
237/277, Street No. 2, New Kundunpur,
Ward No. 90, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Chief Director, Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,
Sector 68, Mohali.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Director, Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,
Sector 68, Mohali.

Appeal Case No.5490 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Ms. Amandeep Kaur (Inspector) 8054940526

ORDER (Third Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 13.06.2022.

2. **Written Submission from respondent** :An email dated 18.07.2022 is received by the undersigned bench the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:

" 2. ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ਾ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਸੀ ਅਰਮੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਲੌਂਗ ਵਾਸੀ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਮਿਤੀ 27.08.2021 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ, ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ ਪੰਜਾਬ, ਸ.ਐਸ. ਨਗਰ ਤੋਂ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਨੰਬਰ 225/17 ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਅਤੇ 3/19 ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪਰ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ। ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ, ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ, ਪੰਜਾਬ, ਸ.ਅ.ਸ. ਨਗਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 4231 ਦਸਐਸ-14 ਮਿਤੀ 22.10.2021 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਨੰਬਰ 225/17 ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਦੇ ਅਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਇਨਕੁਆਰੀ ਨੇ 1 ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਦਰਜ ਹੋਈ ਹੈ। ਇਹ ਕਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਇਨਕੁਆਰੀ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਕਪਤਾਨ ਪੁਲਿਸ, ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ, ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪਾਸੋਂ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਅਧੀਨ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ-2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 11) ਤਹਿਤ ਛੇਟ ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਨ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਗਈ ਸੀ। ਅਨੈਕਚਰ ਡੀ-1) (2ਵਰਕ)

ਇਸ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਮਿਤੀ 02.12.2021 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕੋਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਨਹੀਂ ਭੇਜੀ ਗਈ, ਜੇ ਭੇਜੀ ਜਾਵੇ। ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਦੀ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਅਪੀਲ ਨੂੰ ਵਿਚਾਰਣ ਉਪਰੰਤ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 30866 ਵਜੇ ਐਸ 14 ਮਿਤੀ 24.12/2011 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਇਨਕੁਆਰੀ ਨੰ. 3/19 ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਅਜੇ ਵੀ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਕਪਤਾਨ ਪੁਲਿਸ, ਵਿਜੀਲੈਂਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ, ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪਾਸ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਅਧੀਨ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ ਐਕਟ-2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 8(1 h) ਤਹਿਤ ਛੇਟ ਹੋਣ ਕਾਰਨ ਮੁਹੱਈਆ ਨਹੀਂ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਗਈ ਸੀ। (ਅਨੈਕਚਰ ਡੀ-2) (ਰਕ)

Appeal Case No.5490 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

3. ਇਸ ਲਈ ਪੈਰਾ ਨੰਬਰ 2 ਅਤੇ 3 ਵਿੱਚ ਦਰਸਾਈ ਸਥਿਤੀ ਅਤੇ ਤੱਥਾਂ ਦੇ ਸਨਮੁੱਖ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਵਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸ਼ਿਕਾਇਤ ਨੰਬਰ 225/17 ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਦੇ ਅਧਾਰ ਤੇ ਵਿਜ਼ੀਲੈਂਸ ਇਨਕੁਆਰੀ ਨੇ ੧੧ ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਦਰਜ ਹੋਈ ਸੀ। ਵਿਜ਼ੀਲੈਂਸ ਇਨਕੁਆਰੀ ਨੰ. 119 ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਦੀ ਪੜਤਾਲ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਸੀਨੀਅਰ ਕਪਤਾਨ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਵਿਜ਼ੀਲੈਂਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ, ਲੁਧਿਆਣਾ ਪਾਸੋਂ ਮੁੱਖ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋ ਕੁੜੀ ਹੈ, ਜੋ ਕਿ ਅੰਤਿਮ ਹੁਕਮਾਂ ਲਈ ਵਿਚਾਰ ਅਧੀਨ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਵਿਚ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ 2005 ਦੀ ਧਾਰਾ 8(1) (h) ਤਹਿਤ ਦਰਜ ਛੋਟ ਨੂੰ ਪ੍ਰਵਾਨਗੀ ਦਿੰਦੇ ਹੋਏ ਇਸ ਅਪੀਲ ਕੇਸ ਨੂੰ ਦਾਖਲ ਦਫਤਰ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਕ੍ਰਿਪਾਲਤਾ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ।
4. ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ-2005 ਅਧੀਨ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਣ ਵਾਲੀਆਂ ਅਪੀਲਾਂ ਦੇ ਨਿਪਟਾਰੇ ਲਈ ਫਸਟ ਐਪੀਲੇਟ ਅਥਾਰਟੀ ਸ਼੍ਰੀ ਐਲ.ਕੇ.ਯਾਦਵ, ਆਈ.ਪੀ.ਐਸ, ਡਾਇਰੈਕਟਰ-ਕਮ-ਫਸਟ ਐਪੀਲੇਟ ਅਥਾਰਟੀ, ਵਿਜ਼ੀਲੈਂਸ ਬਿਊਰੋ, ਪੰਜਾਬ, ਵਿਜ਼ੀਲੈਂਸ ਭਵਨ, ਸੈਕਟਰ-68, ਸ.ਅ.ਸ. ਨਗਰ ਹਨ। "
3. Respondent, Ms. Amandeep Kaur retired the content of the written submission and submitted that the sought information is denied u/s 8(1)h of RTI act 2005.
4. In the light of the aforementioned settled position of law, this Commission is of the considered opinion that the contention of the respondent is found reasonable and there appears no reason to interfere with the same. The appellant is at liberty to obtain necessary information from the concerned Authority at the appropriate stage, which as a matter of legal norm is anyway made available to the appellant.

Accordingly this case is disposed of and closed.

Chandigarh
18.07.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab